

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BILL LIETZKE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:20-cv-00922-GMN-DJA

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States Magistrate Judge Daniel Albregts, (ECF No. 3), which recommends that the case be dismissed without prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).


Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, June 5, 2020, has passed. (Min. Order, ECF No. 3).

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 3), is
3 **ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED in full.**

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's case is **DISMISSED without prejudice.**

5 **DATED** this 9 day of June, 2020.

6
7 
8 _____
9 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
10 United States District Court
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25